## Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

$\boxtimes$	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental
LRB	Number	09-4630/1		Introd	duction Nur	nber <b>S</b>	B-669
finishir	misclassificating of buildings	s or other struc	tures with inte	nt to evade th	ne laws related	to income t	painting or drywall ax withholding, positing a penalty
Fiscal	Effect						
Local:	No Local Gov Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	Existing tions Existing tions ew Appropriation vernment Cost e e Costs sive Mandat	Reverse Revers	ase Existing enues ease Existing enues enues enues ease Revenue ease Revenue ease Revenue ease Revenue	5.Type Gove		
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations							
☑ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEGS 20.445(1)(a)							
Agen	cy/Prepared	Ву		Authorized 9	Signature		Date
DWD/	Robert Ande	rson (608) 266	-3345	Andrew Feldi	man (608) 266	-2284	4/13/2010

### **Fiscal Estimate Narratives** DWD 4/13/2010

LRB Number	09-4630/1	Introduction Number	SB-669	Estimate Type	Original
Description		,			

Willful misclassification of an employee as a nonemployee by a person engaged in the painting or drywall finishing of buildings or other structures with intent to evade the laws related to income tax withholding, worker's compensation, unemployment insurance, or employment discrimination and providing a penalty

#### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

In Act 28, laws of 2009 the Legislature created a \$25,000 fine for employers engaged to build construction projects who intentionally mis-classify employees as independent contractors. This bill extends that penalty to apply to instances where an employer working on a painting and/or dry walling project that is not part of a construction project intentionally mis-classifies a worker as an independent contractor.

Enforcement of this provision will be performed either by the Unemployment Compensation, Workers Compensation or the Equal Rights Divisions. Individuals conducting the initial investigation of potential violations and making the initial determination of potential violations will be either be Equal Rights Officer -Senior level positions or their equivalent level positions in the other divisions.

The department anticipates that it will become aware of potential violations either by random visits to construction sites or by third parties [not employees or the employer] filing complaints with the department. The department anticipates the specific changes proposed in this legislation will generate 15 instances a year where the department will initiate an investigation of an employer for having classified an employee as a non-employee. The department anticipates that investigations will consume an average of 20 hours of an Equal Rights Officer - Senior's time [or equivalent position]. To investigate 15 complaints the department will consume about .15 of an Equal Rights Officer - Senior [or equivalent position] time each year. An Equal Rights Officer - Senior with fringe benefits costs the department \$78,036 per year. The cost to investigate the 15 complaints will be about \$11,700 to the department.

The department anticipates that 1/2 of the investigations it conducts of potential violations of this bill will result in litigation of the violations and assessment of the \$25,000 fine. The department therefore estimates the state will collect an additional \$175,000 in revenue based upon fine collections which will go to the school fund.

The department does not perceive any local governmental costs associated with this legislation.

#### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

The department anticipates the first year expenses and revenue will continue for the foreseeable future.

### Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2009 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental	
LRE	3 Number	09-4630	/1	Intro	duction Nun	nber	SB-669	
Willfu dryw withh	all finishing of	buildings or o	ther structure	s with intent	by a person en to evade the law ance, or employe	ws relate	d to income tax	
annı	ualized fiscal o		Impacts for S	State and/or	Local Govern	ment (do	not include in	
None	e. nnualized Cos				Annualized Fig	anal Imn	ant on funda from	
II. AI	inualized Cos	ils:	<u> </u>		Increased Costs		act on funds from: Decreased Costs	
A S	tate Costs by	Category	····		increased Costs	31	Decreased Costs	
-	ate Operations		d Fringes		\$8,830	)	\$	
	TE Position Ch				<del></del>			
<del></del>	ate Operations		s		2,870	<u></u>		
⊢	cal Assistance					1	········	
Ai	ds to Individua	ls or Organiza	ations					
	TOTAL State	Costs by Ca	tegory		\$11,700		\$	
B. S	tate Costs by	Source of Fu	unds			=		
G	PR	<u></u>			11,700	D		
FE	ED							
PF	RO/PRS							
SE	EG/SEG-S							
	itate Revenue enues (e.g., tax				l will increase	or decre	ase state	
					Increased Rev	/	Decreased Rev	
G	PR Taxes				\$		\$	
G	PR Earned							
FE	ED							
PF	RO/PRS	·						
SI	EG/SEG-S							
Ш	TOTAL State				\$		\$	
			NET ANNUA	LIZED FISC				
					State		Local	
<b>—</b>	NET CHANGE IN COSTS				\$11,700		\$	
NET	CHANGE IN F	REVENUE	<u>,=</u> ,		\$		\$	
Acc	ncy/Prepared	By		Authorized	Signature		Date	
	D/ Robert Ande	-	ļ		iman (608) 266-	-2284	4/13/2010	
1-44	o, moson muc	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , , , ,		(555) 255		7 10/2010	

### Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental
LRB	Number	09-4630/1		Introd	duction N	umber :	SB-669	
finishir	misclassificating of buildings	ion of an empl s or other struction, unemploy	tures with inte	nt to evade th	ne laws relate	ed to income	e tax withh	olding,
Fiscal	Effect							,
Local	No Local Gov Indeterminate 1. Increase Increase Permiss 2. Increase	Existing Lions Existing Stions We Appropriation Vernment Costs Stive Mandate	Reve Decre Reve  ns  3. Increa  ory Perm  4. Decre	ease Existing	5.Ty Go andatory	ncrease Cos o absorb with Yes Decrease Co Vpes of Loca overnment U Towns Counties School Districts	hin agency sts	's budget  No  No  Cities
Fund Sources Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations								
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agen	cy/Prepared	Ву		Authorized	Signature			Date
DWD/	Richard Tille	ma (608) 267-9	9807	Andrew Feld	man (608) 26	66-2284		4/15/2010

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DWD 4/15/2010

LRB Number	09-4630/1	Introduction Number	SB-669	Estimate Type	Updated			
Description								
Willful misclassification of an employee as a nonemployee by a person engaged in the painting or drywall								

Willful misclassification of an employee as a nonemployee by a person engaged in the painting or drywall finishing of buildings or other structures with intent to evade the laws related to income tax withholding, worker's compensation, unemployment insurance, or employment discrimination and providing a penalty

#### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The 2009 budget bill, 2009 Wiscsonin Act 28, created sections 71.63(3)(d), 102.07(8)(d), 108.24(2m) and 111.327, which provide for fines of \$25,000 for certain acts and false statements by employers in misclassifying workers with intent to evade certain laws.

By the terms of those provisions created by Act 28, the provisions apply to "any employer described in s. 108.18(2)(c)."

Section 108.18(2)(c) refers to employers "engaged in the construction of roads, bridges, highways, sewers, water mains, utilities, public buildings, factories, housing, or similar construction projects."

This bill, SB-669, merely assures that the scope of the newly created sections, 71.63(3)(d), 102.07(8)(d), 108.24(2m) and 111.327, will encompass employers engaged in "painting and drywall finishing of buildings or other structures" for purposes of those sections and the fines created by Act 28.

Section 108.18(2)(c) has been in existence for years prior to 2009. The Department of Workforce Development staff currently responsible for administering 108.18(2)(c) have consistently treated employers engaged in "painting and drywall finishing of buildings or other structures" as within the meaning of the term "construction" when applying section 108.18(2)(c).

The fines were created entirely by Act 28 and the effect of SB-669 is solely confirmation or clarification of the intent of Act 28. SB-669 will have no fiscal impact.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications** 

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2009 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☐ Original ☑ Updated	Corrected	Supplemental					
LRB Number <b>09-4630/1</b>	Introduction Num	ber <b>SB-669</b>					
Description Willful misclassification of an employee as a nonemployee by a person engaged in the painting or drywall finishing of buildings or other structures with intent to evade the laws related to income tax withholding, worker's compensation, unemployment insurance, or employment discrimination and providing a penalty							
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):  None.							
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fisc	cal Impact on funds from:					
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs					
A. State Costs by Category		H-101-1-10-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-					
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$	\$					
(FTE Position Changes)							
State Operations - Other Costs							
Local Assistance							
Aids to Individuals or Organizations							
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$					
B. State Costs by Source of Funds							
GPR		·					
FED							
PRO/PRS							
SEG/SEG-S							
III. State Revenues - Complete this only whe revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in lice		r decrease state					
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev					
GPR Taxes	\$	\$					
GPR Earned							
FED							
PRO/PRS							
SEG/SEG-S							
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$					
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT							
	State	Local					
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$					
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$					
Agency/Prepared By	uthorized Signature	Date					
DWD/ Richard Tillema (608) 267-9807 Andrew Feldman (608) 266-2284							